

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,835	11/12/2003	Kenneth G. Carson	1855.2036-001	6925
21005	7590 08/26/2005		EXAMINER	
	, BROOK, SMITH &	RAHMANI,	RAHMANI, NILOOFAR	
530 VIRGINIA P.O. BOX 913			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CONCORD, 1	CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			
			DATE MAII ED: 09/26/2000	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar	10/706,835	CARSON ET AL.				
Exammer-initiated interview duminar	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric V. Woods	2672				
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u>Pending</u>				
(1) Eric V. Woods.	(3)					
(2) Timothy R. Baumann (40,502).	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>19 August 2005</u> Time: <u>Noon</u>						
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.	·					
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A						
Claims discussed: All		-				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A						
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
\sim						
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20050819

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's response to the Restriction requirement on 13 July 2005 was a provisional election of Group I. However, the response did not state whether or not it was with or without traverse. As such, examiner needed to determine applicant's intention. Applicant indicated that it was without traverse.